

LAW OFFICES
HALEY, BADER & POTTS

4350 NORTH FAIRFAX DR., SUITE 900

ARLINGTON, VIRGINIA 22203-1633

TELEPHONE (703) 841-0606

FAX (703) 841-2345

POST OFFICE BOX 19006

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20036-9006

TELEPHONE

(202) 331-0606

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

RECEIVED

AUG - 3 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

SUSAN H. ROSENAU

August 3, 1993

By Hand Delivery

Mr. William F. Caton

Acting Secretary

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

OUR FILE NO.

0992-102-60


RE: MM Docket No. 93-42, Calistoga, California

Dear Mr. Caton:

On behalf of Moonbeam, Inc., an applicant (File No. BPH-911115MG) for a New FM Station on Channel 265A in Calistoga, California, please find the original and six copies of its Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time in the above-referenced proceeding.

Kindly communicate any questions directly to this office.

Yours very truly,


Susan H. Rosenau

Enclosures (6)

cc: Moonbeam, Inc.
Robert Zauner, Esquire
A. Wray Fitch, Esquire
Administrative Law Judge Edward Luton

No. of Copies rec'd
List A B C D E

0+6

DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Before The
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

RECEIVED

AUG - 3 1993

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In re Applications Of

MOONBEAM, INC.

GARY E. WILLSON

For a Construction Permit for a
New FM Station on Channel
265A in Calistoga, California

) **Docket No. MM 93-42**

) File No. BPH-911115MG

) File No. BPH-911115MO

To: The Honorable Edward Luton
Administrative Law Judge

**Opposition to Motion
For Extension of Time**

Moonbeam, Inc. ("Moonbeam"), by its attorneys, respectfully
opposes the Motion for Extension of Time filed by Gary E. Willson

("Willson") on August 2, 1993. Therein, Willson seeks a three business


Commission's Rules, Willson has failed to orally notify Moonbeam of its motion. For this reason also, the motion should be denied.

It is unusual for Moonbeam or its counsel to oppose such a request, to which it would consent in the normal course as a matter of professional courtesy. This proceeding has not been conducted in the normal course. Willson has throughout sought to hold Moonbeam to an exaggerated standard of conduct and accuracy, in an effort to harass Moonbeam and deplete its resources. Moonbeam cannot consent and must oppose giving Willson additional time and resources to further his inequitable objective.

WHEREFORE, Moonbeam respectfully requests that Willson's motion for extension of time be DENIED.

Respectfully submitted,

MOONBEAM, INC.

By 
Lee W. Shubert
Susan H. Rosenau

Its Attorneys

HALEY, BADER & POTTS
Suite 900
4350 North Fairfax Drive
Arlington, VA 22203-1633
703/841-0606
August 3, 1993

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned, an employee of Haley, Bader & Potts, hereby certifies that the foregoing Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time was mailed this date by First Class U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, or was hand-delivered*, to the following:

A. Wray Fitch, III, Esquire
Gammon & Grange
8280 Greensboro Drive
McLean, VA 22102-3807

Administrative Law Judge Edward Luton
2000 L Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

Robert Zauner, Esquire*
Federal Communications Commission
Mass Media Bureau. Hearing Branch